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Resumen

La agresividad de la lluvia contribuye a la erosividad del suelo en regiones de alta montaña, y por ende a la sedimentación en la parte baja de la cuenca. El conocimiento acerca de la agresividad de la lluvia en regiones costeras y andinas contribuye a la formulación de medidas de mitigación que influyen en la reducción de erosión y pérdida de nutrientes. Los índices Fournier, Fournier modificado y de concentración de precipitación proveen la capacidad de estimar la distribución espacial y temporal de la agresividad de la lluvia. Este estudio presenta un análisis de la lluvia mediante estos índices de agresividad en la cuenca del río Guayas ubicada en la costa y Andes ecuatoriales. Se seleccionaron datos mensuales registrados de 30 estaciones pluviométricas para el período 1968-2014. Se determinaron zonas homogéneas de precipitación mediante el método k-means. Los resultados indicaron dos regiones homogéneas predominantes, la primera ubicada al oeste en la zona costera y andina (85,2% del área de la cuenca), con un índice de agresividad alto y muy alto; mientras que la distribución de la precipitación en la segunda región (Alta montaña) resultó de muy baja a baja agresividad. La mayor agresividad potencial de la lluvia le corresponde una mayor acumulación de precipitación promedio anual, lo que indica una alta influencia estacional de las lluvias, es decir, una mayor cantidad de lluvia puede precipitar en un número reducido de meses consecutivos. Los valores de concentración revelan un gradiente regional en dirección este-oeste que va de moderadamente a fuertemente estacional. El análisis de tendencias de la concentración de lluvia mensual no muestra cambios significativos en el período de estudio. No obstante, los hallazgos del presente estudio explican el porqué la región oeste y sur de la cuenca del río Guayas está expuesta a problemas de sedimentación en la parte baja, producto de la capacidad erosiva de la lluvia en la parte alta y media de la cuenca.
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Abstract

The aggressiveness of rain contributes to the erosion of the soil in high mountain regions, and therefore to the sedimentation in the lower part of the watershed. To know about the aggressiveness of rain in coastal and Andean regions contributes to the formulation of mitigation measures that help to the reduction of erosion and loss of nutrients. Fournier indices, Modified Fournier and precipitation concentration provide the ability to estimate the spatial and temporal distribution of the aggressiveness of the rain. This study presents a spatial and temporal analysis of climatic aggressiveness in the Guayas river watershed located on the coast and the equatorial Andes. Registered monthly data of 30 rainfall stations for the period 1968-2014 was selected. Homogeneous precipitation zones were determined by the k-means method. The results indicated two predominant homogenous regions, the first located to the west in the coastal and Andean zone (85.2% of the area of the Watershed), with a high and very high aggressiveness index, while the distribution of precipitation in the second region (High mountain) resulted from very low to low aggressiveness. The greater potential aggressiveness of rain corresponds to a greater accumulation of average annual rainfall, which indicates a high seasonal influence of rainfall, i.e., a greater amount of rainfall can precipitate in a reduced number of consecutive months. The concentration values reveal a regional gradient in the east-west direction, which goes from moderately to strongly seasonal. The trend analysis of the monthly rainfall concentration shows no significant changes in the study period. However, these findings explain why the western and southern region of the Guayas river watershed is exposed to sedimentation problems in the lower part, due to the erosive capacity of rain in the higher and middle part of the watershed.
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1 Introduction

The erosion of precipitation causes loss of fertile soil, damage to infrastructure, agriculture and water pollution, which is influenced by changes in precipitation patterns (Martín-Fernández and Martínez-Núñez, 2011; Sanchez-Moreno et al., 2014). This is caused since large amounts of seasonal rain can precipitate in high mountain regions such as the Andes in a few days or weeks (Sarricolea et al., 2014; Zubieta et al., 2016; Sarricolea et al., 2019), or Amazonian regions (Zubieta et al., 2019). Precipitation is an important variable for climate studies, whose spatial and temporal variability can impact human activities during extreme hydroclimatic events such as droughts and floods (Parracho et al., 2016). It also plays a key role in water resource planning and management, directly linked to agriculture and disaster mitigation (Prakash et al., 2015). Accurate precipitation quantification is a challenge for many hydrological applications, especially in regions with complex topography due to orographic and small-scale slope effects (Prakash et al., 2015).

The aggressiveness of rain can cause environmental impacts and is a key factor in the occurrence of soil erosion, landslides or flooding. Therefore, parameters that evaluate the aggressiveness of rain can be considered as an appropriate environmental indicator (Gregori et al., 2006; García-Barrón et al., 2018). Estimating this variable over long periods is useful for the soil conservation, agricultural planning and environmental policy development. The R-factor, or rainfall erosivity, is an accepted instrument for measuring local erosion and it depends on the kinetic energy of each rain episode (Panagos et al., 2015). This model is the most widely used and was developed on a detailed scale in the agricultural sector; however, its application at the regional level presents limitations (Terranova et al., 2009). The soil erosion estimates do not conform to empirical sedimentation measures and do not incorporate direct water runoff (Kinnell, 2010).

The R-factor overestimates erosion at the regional or watershed level (Hernando and Romana, 2016) and is not recommended in areas where a validation process is not performed. In addition, it is advisable to use high-frequency precipitation records of weather stations for a period of more than twenty years for its estimation (Angulo-Martínez et al., 2009). Therefore, models that measure the effects of rainfall aggressiveness can be used by considering hourly rainfall records (intensity models) or monthly precipitation records (volume models). The latter model refers to the different partial accumulations of rain, regardless of the number, duration and amount of rain of each episode, so it is based exclusively on monthly precipitation records available in most countries. Thus, the aggressiveness index can be used in environmental studies (Fournier, 1960; Arnoldus, 1978; Oliver, 1980). These indices have been widely used in climate studies to identify spatial rainfall concentration patterns in regions of Europe, Asia, Africa and South America such as Spain (De Luis et al., 2011), Iberian Peninsula (García-Barrón et al., 2018), India (Ballari et al., 2018), Bangladesh (Rasel et al., 2016), Nigeria (Ezenwaji et al., 2017), Argentina (Besteiro and Delgado, 2011), Venezuela (Rey et al., 2012) and Chile (Sarricolea et al., 2014; Valdés-Pineda et al., 2016). Changes in the temporal patterns of these parameters have also been identified in Andean regions of Chile (Sarricolea et al., 2019).

The intense soil erosion resulting from increased rainfall intensity is a critical problem in many basins around the world (Vrieling et al., 2014; Mondal et al., 2016). The Guayas River Basin (CRG - acronym in Spanish), is Ecuador’s most fertile agricultural area (Buckalew et al., 1998), and the main production center for agricultural goods. Seasonal distribution and annual rainfall totals are extremely irregular, causing CRG to be affected by flood events and droughts, causing economic losses. This was the case for 1982 and 1983, which produced estimated losses of 3.18 % and 28.63 % of PIB, respectively ($520 million) (Egas, 1985). While in 1997-98 5664 km² of agricultural production ($616.5 million) was lost (Corporación Andina de Fomento, 1998), caused by the marked influence of El Niño phenomenon on the basin (Cadier et al., 1996). But the area of greatest affectation is the low basin, because it is periodically subjected to flooding that can have catastrophic consequences, aggravated by human actions such as deforestation and erosion in the headwaters of the rivers (Rossel et al., 1996). The Guayas River presents sedimentation problems due to soil erosion in the basin (Gobierno Provincial del Guayas, 2016), which has resulted in the formation of islets at the junction of the Babahoyo and Daule River (Figure 1) (Soledispa, 2002). Measures such as dredging have been used in the face of sediment accumulation amounting to 250 thousand tons per year (Gobierno Provincial del Guayas, 2018). However, rain has not been studied as an erosion factor in Ecuadorian regions such as the CRG. The aim of this research is (a) to regionalize precipitation for a prolonged period of monthly precipitation data (1968-2014) and (b) to estimate the aggressiveness and concentration of precipitation in the CRG.
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Figure 1. Location of the Guayas River basin, altitude, distribution of the 30 rainfall stations and years of study. The name of the stations is shown in Table 1.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Study area

The CRG is located in the central western of Ecuador (0°14’ a 2°27’ S y 78°36’ a 80°36’ O) (Figure 1). The area is characterized by a significant altitude gradient up to 4000 masl with an area of 32890 km², corresponding to 13% of Ecuador area. It concentrates approx 40% of the country’s population (?). CRG drains into the Gulf of Guayaquil, the main rivers are the Daule and Babahoyo (Figure 1) that join near Guayaquil, the country’s largest city Damanik-Ambarita2016. The Guayas River, the largest on the western coast of South America, with an average annual run of 1350 m³/s (Twilley et al., 2001), has a straight main channel forking into a network of river channels that run through 30 km of mangroves and tidal plains (Reynaud et al., 2018). The main economic activities in the CRG are: agriculture, fishing and hydroelectric power generation. The main environmental pressures on freshwater ecosystems are wastewater pollution, agriculture, land use changes and two hydroelectric dams (Thi Nguyen et al., 2015). In recent years, river sedimentation problems have increased in the lower part of the basin, considered to be one of the contributing factors to the risk of flooding from extreme rains. This sedimentation is perceived locally as a consequence of interventions carried out in the upper basin and natural events such as El Niño (Barrera-Crespo et al., 2018). The impacts of El Niño on this basin have caused flooding (rain erosion, slippage and landslides), pollution of drinking water, damage to infrastructure and the agricultural sector (Corporación Andina de Fomento, 1998).

2.2 Data

Precipitation records were collected from 250 weather stations from the National Institute of Meteorology and Hydrology (INAMHI), each with different periods between 1962 and 2016. In order to guarantee the highest availability of monthly data, 30 stations with a period of 47 years (1968-2014) were selected, which had the lowest amount (< 13%) of missing data (Table 1). Out of the 30 stations, 63% of them have more than 40 years of continuous records, and 10% from 21 to 30 years.

2.3 Methodology

The methodology consists of three summarized steps in Figure 2: the first is the evaluation of the precipitation data, its homogenization and the completion of monthly data by the regional vector (MVR) method. The second corresponds to the process of regionalization by grouping stations using k-means and interpolation using co-kriging, and the last stage corresponds to the determination of the aggressiveness and concentration of precipitation through different indices.
Table 1. Characteristics of 30 stations in the Guayas River basin: name, geographical location and missing data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Latitude (°S)</th>
<th>Longitude (°O)</th>
<th>Altitude (msnm)</th>
<th>% missing data</th>
<th>Period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>Pichilingue</td>
<td>-1.07</td>
<td>-79.49</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1968-2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2</td>
<td>Puerto Ila</td>
<td>-0.48</td>
<td>-79.34</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1968-2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3</td>
<td>Sto. Domingo Aeropuerto</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>-79.20</td>
<td>554</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1968-1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5</td>
<td>Milagro (Ingenio Valdez)</td>
<td>-2.12</td>
<td>-79.60</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1968-2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M6</td>
<td>San Juan La Mana</td>
<td>-0.92</td>
<td>-79.25</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1968-2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M8</td>
<td>Chillanes</td>
<td>-1.98</td>
<td>-79.06</td>
<td>2330</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1968-2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M9</td>
<td>Chunchi</td>
<td>-2.28</td>
<td>-78.92</td>
<td>2177</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1968-2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M10</td>
<td>Camposano #2</td>
<td>-1.59</td>
<td>-80.40</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1977-2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M11</td>
<td>Pueblo Viejo</td>
<td>-1.52</td>
<td>-79.54</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1976-2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M12</td>
<td>Las Delicias-Pichincha</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
<td>-79.40</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1968-2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M13</td>
<td>Moraspungo-Cotopaxi</td>
<td>-1.18</td>
<td>-79.22</td>
<td>409</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1968-87, 96-2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M14</td>
<td>Ramón Campaña</td>
<td>-1.12</td>
<td>-79.09</td>
<td>1462</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1968-2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M15</td>
<td>Echeandia</td>
<td>-1.43</td>
<td>-79.29</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1968-2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M16</td>
<td>Salinas-Bolívar</td>
<td>-1.40</td>
<td>-79.02</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1969-2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M18</td>
<td>Pallatanga</td>
<td>-2.00</td>
<td>-78.97</td>
<td>1523</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1968-2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M19</td>
<td>Compuad</td>
<td>-2.34</td>
<td>-78.94</td>
<td>2402</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1968-2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M20</td>
<td>Palmira INAMHI</td>
<td>-2.06</td>
<td>-78.74</td>
<td>3180</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1968-1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M21</td>
<td>Achupallas-Chimborazo</td>
<td>-2.28</td>
<td>-78.77</td>
<td>3178</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1968-2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22</td>
<td>Chimbó Pj Pangor</td>
<td>-1.94</td>
<td>-79.00</td>
<td>1452</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1968-2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M23</td>
<td>Alausí</td>
<td>-2.20</td>
<td>-78.85</td>
<td>2267</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1968-2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M24</td>
<td>Canílimbe</td>
<td>-1.77</td>
<td>-78.99</td>
<td>2800</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1969-2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M25</td>
<td>Guasuntos</td>
<td>-2.23</td>
<td>-78.81</td>
<td>2438</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1975-2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M27</td>
<td>Colimes de Pajan</td>
<td>-1.58</td>
<td>-80.51</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1970-2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M28</td>
<td>Vinces INAMHI</td>
<td>-1.56</td>
<td>-79.77</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1968-2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29</td>
<td>La Capilla INAMHI</td>
<td>-1.70</td>
<td>-80.00</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1968-2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M30</td>
<td>Palmeras Unidas (Palmar)</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
<td>-79.60</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1987-2012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Methodological scheme for the regionalization, aggressiveness and concentration of the rain time series.
2.3.1 Method of regional vector

MVR was used to evaluate the quality and estimation of the missing data. This method is oriented to the criticism, homogenization and completion-extension of the precipitation data (Hiez, 1977; Brunet-Moret, 1979). The MVR is based on the creation of a station ‘average species’ type ‘Vector’. This concept refers to the calculation of a weighted average rainfall anomalies for each season, overcoming the effects of seasons with extreme and low rainfall values. Then, there are $Z_{i}$ annual interposition techniques and $P_{j}$’s rainfall, which are extended by the least squares technique. This could be achieved by minimizing the sum of the following equation (Espinoza Villar et al., 2009).

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \left( \frac{P_{ij}}{P_{j}} - Z_{i} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Where $i$ is the year index, $j$ the station index, $N$ the number of years and $M$ the number of stations. $P_{ij}$ represents annual rainfall in the station $j$, year $i$; $P_{j}$ is the extended average rainy period of $N$ years; and finally, $Z_{i}$ is the regional rainfall index of the year $i$. The full set of $Z_{i}$ values throughout the period is known as the annual vector of regional rainfall indices, and by being an iterative process, this method allows to calculate the vector of each of the vector of of the predefined regions, then it provides a comparison of year-on-year variability of stations -vector, to finally discard those that are not consistent with the regional vector (VR). This process is repeated as much as necessary and was performed using the HYDRACCESS software (Vauchel, 2005).

2.3.2 Regionalization

This study used the k-means method, widely employed to regionalize homogeneous areas of precipitation (Golian et al., 2010; Gómez-Latorre, 2015; Shahana Shirin and Thomas, 2016; Rau et al., 2017). K-means is a grouping algorithm, the most commonly used to identify homogeneous groups of objects called clusters. The data within a cluster shares many features but is very different from the data that does not belong to that cluster (Yashwant and Sananse, 2015). The data in this study are summarized in a 30-row matrix for weather stations and 6 columns with information such as: station name, altitude, latitude, longitude and cumulative precipitation. A key part of the k-means application is to define an optimal number of groups, which can be done by estimating the silhouette coefficient ($S$) for each number of groups, the $S$ coefficient has the advantage that it only considers the current partition and does not depend on the grouping algorithm, its value is obtained by Equation 2 (Rousseeuw, 1987):

$$S_{(i)} = \frac{b_{i} - a_{i}}{\max \{a_{(i)}, b_{(i)}\}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Where $a_{(i)}$ corresponds to the average similarity between object $i$ and other objects in the same group, and $b_{(i)}$ is the average similarity between object $i$ and k-cluster members. The S coefficient varies between -1 and +1; the partition will be better when it gets closer +1, a negative value means that there is no good correspondence between the members of the group, a value of zero means that the object could belong to any group (Kaufman and Rousseeuw, 2005). Also, the homogenization of precipitation was performed for extreme events such as El Niño from 1997-1998, considering the above methodology.

The interpolation of annual precipitation data was performed using a geostatistical approach, co-kriging method, which is a multivariate version of the kriging technique (Goovaerts, 1998), considering two variables (altitude and cumulative precipitation) transformed logarithmically due to the bias and the wide numerical range of precipitation values. This method was used for the interpolation and delimitation of precipitation zones (Rau et al., 2017) and for mapping the spatial distribution of indices.

2.3.3 Climatic aggressiveness analysis and concentration of precipitations

Climate aggressiveness was analyzed by interpreting the Fournier Index (FI) and Modified Fournier Index (MFI). Fournier (1960) proposes a climate aggressiveness index or IF, which has a high correlation with the amount of sediments carried by runoff. The IF estimates the erosive characteristics (aggressiveness) based on the rainiest month of each year within a given time period, and for the calculation of the IF the following expression (3) was used. Where FI: Fournier index for the year $j$, $P_{\text{máx}}$: average precipitation relative to the wettest month (mm) and $P$: Average annual precipitation (mm).

$$IF_{j} = \frac{P_{\text{máx}_{j}}}{P}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

However, it is necessary to consider areas that have more than a monthly maximum or areas where rainfall values have high values due to seasonality (Jordán and Bellinfante, 2000). To correct these errors, a modification of the original FI was proposed using the accumulated precipitation, called MFI (Arnoldus, 1978). This index considers the rain of the twelve months and not only that of the wettest month, its calculation relates the monthly rainfall with those Equation (4). Where: $MFI_{j}$: rainfall aggressiveness index, for year $j$, $P_{m}$: monthly precipitation of the month $i$ (mm) of the year $j$ and $P_{m}$: average annual precipitation.

$$MFI_{j} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{12} (P_{ij})^{2}}{P_{m}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)
The seasonality of precipitation was estimated by the Precipitation Concentration Index (PCI) proposed by Oliver (1980), being a distribution indicator of temporal precipitation and used as an estimator of the extreme behavior of the precipitation (Sarricolea et al., 2014). It has traditionally been applied on an annual scale and it describes whether annual precipitation is temporarily concentrated in a single month or distributed evenly throughout the year. The PCI was calculated on an annual scale from the following Equation:

$$PCI_j = 100 \frac{\sum_{i=j}^{12} p_{ij}^2}{P_j^2}$$

(5)

The PCI was also analyzed on a seasonal scale considering the periods of increased precipitation (December-May) and lower precipitation (June-November), according to Equation (6):

$$PCI_{seasonal} = 1000 \frac{\sum_{i=j}^{6} p_{ij}^2}{(\sum_{i=j}^{12} p_{ij})^2}$$

(6)

Where $PCI_j$: annual rainfall concentration index (%), for year $j$; $PCI_{estac}$: seasonal concentration index (%); $p_{ij}$: precipitation of month $i$ in year $j$; $P_j$: annual precipitation of the year $j$. The main difference between these indices is the ranges of rank values (Table 2).

### Table 2. Indexes that determine aggressiveness and concentration of precipitation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Classification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fournier Index (FI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;50</td>
<td>Very low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-100</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-150</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150-200</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;200</td>
<td>Very high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mofidified Fournier Index (MFI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;100</td>
<td>Very low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-200</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200-300</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300-400</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;400</td>
<td>Very high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation concentration index (PCI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8,3% - 10%</td>
<td>Uniform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10% - 15%</td>
<td>Partly seasonal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15% - 20%</td>
<td>Seasonal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20% - 50%</td>
<td>Strongly seasonal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50% - 100%</td>
<td>Irregular</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The classification of indices is performed based on (Fournier, 1960; Arnoldus, 1978) and (Oliver, 1980). The influence of climate change on the seasonal pattern of precipitation concentration was determined by Mann-Kendall’s nonparametric statistical test (MK), at three levels of significance (90%, 95% y 99%). MK analysis was performed using TREND software (https://toolkit.ewater.org.au/trend). The MK test verifies the existence of positive/negative changes in a series of data, against a zero hypothesis of non-trends and where the data are random and independent (Mann, 1945; Kendall, 1975). MK trend analysis is a robust test when the data differ from "normality" and less sensitive to outliers (Lanzante, 1996). MK analysis has been widely used for the detection analysis of meteorological and hydrological trends (Kumar et al., 2009; Gocic and Trajkovic, 2013; Hermida et al., 2015; Zelenkáková et al., 2016; Güçlü, 2018; Sarricolea et al., 2019).

### 3 Results and discussion

#### 3.1 Homogenous regions

The optimal value for cluster numbers was determined by the overall average value of $S$ and the number of negative $S$ for each cluster group that varies from 2 to 10 (Table 3). The maximum value of $S$ was obtained for cluster group 2 (0.51) and with a lower number of negative silhouette (1); it is the only group that is considered to be a reasonable structure, because its value of $S$ is greater than 0.50 (Kononenko and Kukar, 2007). Internally cluster group 2 has a strong clustering structure ($S=0.66$) while cluster 1 reached a lower value, with a negative $S$ (Figure 3b). This indicates that in cluster 1, grouping centers can be found, although there is considerable ‘noise’. Cluster groups for extreme events such as El Niño 97-98 presented similar results (Table 3).
The spatial distributions of K-means (2) show an array of stations according to topographic variation and length (Figure 3). The cluster of two groups divides the CRG into two homogeneous regions of precipitation: lower and middle (red triangles) and upper part (black circles). The two regions are well defined, taking into account the rain interpolation map, as shown in Figure 3a.

Region One (R1) is located on the slopes of the western Andes mountain range and the great plains of the Ecuadorian coast (78°9' a 80°59' O) (Figure 4a). The altitude varies between 3 to 2500 masl, occupying 85.2% of the CRG area. The regime is unimodal, the rainy season runs from December to May (Figure 4b) and concentrates 89% of the accumulated annual rain (Cadier et al., 1996; Rossel and Cadier, 2009; Fries et al., 2014) and a dry season (June-November) (Hastenrath, 1997). The precipitation range ranges from 850 to 3500 mm per year and a year-on-year CV of 0.38 (Figure 4a). The rain in this region is convection type and the distribution of dry and rainy season is due to the north-south movement of the Intertropical Convergence Zone (ZCIT) (Rollenbeck and Bendix, 2011).

Region two (R2) is located in the western range of the Andes, the altitude is higher than 1500 masl and less than 4000 masl. Rainfall totals (450 to 1500mm year-1) and year-on-year CV (0.34) were relatively low compared to R1 (Figure 4a). The precipitation distribution has a bimodal trend: the first peak occurs from January to May, followed from October to December, and the period May to August has the lowest average monthly precipitation (Figure 4c). The amount of rain that falls in this area is due to the influence of orographic rain and convection (Rollenbeck and Bendix, 2011). Precipitation formation is complex in the mountains due to the interaction between moisture transport, differential surface heating, synoptic wind field and local mountain breeze system (Daly et al., 2007; Foresti and Pozdnoukhov, 2012).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster group</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average silhouette</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of negative silhouette</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General average of extreme silhouette_events</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of extreme negative silhouette_events</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Two annual precipitation patterns can be identified within the study area: in R1, a well-differentiated annual cycle was found between periods of avenues and styling, characterized by peaks for the years 72-73; 75-76; 91-92; and extreme events for 82-83 and 97-98 (Figure 4d). Extreme rainfall in Ecuador is associated with El Niño events that caused severe flooding, economic losses and disease (Bendix and Bendix, 2006). In R1, the influence of El Niño is strongly linked to annual rainfall surpluses (Rossel et al., 1998). R2 has a weak seasonality, which is consistent with the estimated average variation coefficient (0.34), where a decrease in average annual precipitation is shown over most seasons compared to R1. Extreme events (82-83 and 97-98) are also observed in the R2 region, because the influence of El Niño in this area is variable (Cadier et al., 1996; Rossel et al., 1998).
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3.2 Climatic aggressiveness

In region R1, 45% of the seasons had annual average of FI values greater than 150; this suggests the occurrence of very high erosion rain (Figure 5a). The FI for R2 shows that 50% of the annual average values are less than 50 and remaining values were greater than 50 and less than 100, considered as very low and low erosion rain, respectively (Figure 5a). Results from MFI suggest a spatial pattern similar to FI (Figure 5b). In fact, in the R1 region, values greater than 300 are values associated with high erosion. While the R2 region has values of approximately 100, indicating low or very low levels of erosion. The difference

Figure 4. Spatial distribution of the two regions (R1-R2) of homogeneous rain after the regionalization process by k-means. a) Year-on-year variation coefficient (CV) range for the 30 rainfall stations. b) and c) Monthly precipitation regime of R1 and R2. (d) and (e) Annual precipitation distribution (1968–2014) for regions R1 and R2.
in estimated rates for regions R1 and R2 may be associated with the spatial distribution of average annual rainfall (Figure 4a), suggesting a high influence on the seasonality of rainfall in both regions (Figure 4 d-e). Also, the seasonality of the basin is corroborated by the results of the PCI (Figure 5c), as these reach values around 20%, which proposes a predominantly seasonal and strong seasonal classification. In addition, in the R1 region, the MFI manages to identify eight very high erosion seasons, this may be because the MFI considers the rain of all twelve months and not only that of the rainiest month of the year. Therefore, this methodology might be more appropriate to characterize the severity of the rains in the area under study (Castelan-Vega et al., 2015).

Figure 5. Aggressiveness rates: a) Fournier index (FI), b) Modified Fournier index (MFI) and c) annual mean precipitation concentration (PCI) of 30 stations in the study area.

It is important to mention that the aggressiveness results in region R2 are in agreement with the region with the highest annual precipitation, which has a good correlation and level of significance between the average annual precipitation pattern (mm) with the FI \( (r = 0.77, p < 0.01) \) and MFI \( (r = 0.93, p < 0.01) \) (Figure 6 d-e), which confirms that a higher annual accumulation (mm) would correspond to greater aggressiveness (Besteiro and Delgado, 2011) in areas where annual precipitation is greater than 900 mm (Jordán and Bellinfante, 2000; Rey et al., 2012). Also, a decrease in FI was observed with altitude \( (r = 0.85, p < 0.01) \), but not so for the MFI. No correlation was found between spatial patterns of climatic aggression for latitude and longitude.
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3.3 Concentration of precipitations

The historical average annual concentration observed in R1 was distributed seasonally with values ranging from 15 – 19%, followed by a strongly seasonal distribution (Figure 5c), i.e., precipitation is concentrated within a few months of the year. In region R2, the PCI registers values higher than 11 and lower than 18%; nine seasons show a seasonal distribution and three moderately seasonal distributions throughout the year (Figure 5c). The historical average annual concentration observed in high mountain regions was predominantly a seasonal and moderately seasonal concentration, these results are consistent with Valdés-Pineda et al. (2016).
The high mountain regions have a rain concentration between seasonal and moderately seasonal, and the central region between strongly seasonal and seasonal (Figure 7a). This suggests a strong association with the length ($r=0.83$, $p<0.01$) (Figure 7b). Along the longitudinal gradient, seasonality increases, leading to a more uniform concentration of annual precipitation. Changes in the PCI are complex, possibly related to global atmospheric characteristics and local and synoptic factors affecting precipitation. However, no correlation was found between PCI with latitude and average annual precipitation, suggesting that the years with the highest annual rainfall are not related to the precipitation concentration. These findings explain why R2 can be strongly affected by seasonal rain during the avenue period, where precipitation that is concentrated in a small number of months is relevant for the occurrence of soil erosion in the upper part, causing sedimentation in the urban area located in the lower part of the CRG.

To analyze changes in seasonal monthly rainfall concentration, the seasonal PCI series (period of highest and lowest precipitation) between 1968 and 2014 was estimated for regions R1 and R2 (Figure 8). Percentages of concentration values around 50% suggests irregularity of precipitation, i.e., a high amount of rain can precipitate in a small number of months, which is associated with flood events; on the other hand, a very low amount of precipitation may be falling in a greater number of months, causing periods of drought that can affect the rain-fed land. This irregularity of monthly rainfall is detected in some years in the dry period of the R1 region (Figure 8). This could affect rain-fed agriculture in the western region of the basin ($\approx 1600$ masl). Seasonal PCI results ($\approx 20\%$) during the rainy period in R1 and R2 show no significant temporal changes and suggest marked seasonality.

The results of MK’s trend analysis identified positive annual trends of the $PCI_{estac}$ only for the dry season (June-November) and negative trends for both periods: dry and rainy. However, in most seasons it does not show a significant trend (Figure 9 a and b). Negative $PCI_{estac}$ trends are concentrated for R1 and R2 in the northern and southeastern part of the CRG.
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Figure 8. Annual series of seasonal precipitation concentration index (PCI$_{estac}$) for the period (P.) of the section (June to November) and rain (December-May), 1968-2014 for R1 and R2.

For the period of heavy rainfall (December-May), only two seasons show negative trend in R1 and R2, with significance levels of 90% and 99%, respectively (Figure 8a). In the dry period, two stations show significant negative trend at 90 and 95% in the southern region of R1. Also, only one station located in high mountain region registers positive trend (Figure 8b). In general, the positive or negative trends identified in R1 and R2 indicate changes associated with irregularity of monthly rainfall in the temporal distribution of the concentration; however, this irregularity is identified in very few seasons.

Figure 9. Seasonal distribution of PCI trends (1968–2014) in the Guayas River basin for different significance level ranges: (a) December - May, b) June - November.

4 Conclusions

The study of the aggressiveness of rainfall for the Basin of the Guayas-Ecuador River allowed to homogenize areas of precipitation, characterize the variability of rains in the period 1968 – 2014 and their potential erosive impact. The results suggest two regions 1) west of the basin in the coastal region up to 2500 masl and 2) east of the basin in the high mountain region between 1500 and 4000 masl. The basin was assessed annually using the Fournier Index (FI), Modified Fournier Index (MFI) and precipitation concentration index (PCI). These findings from IF and MFI indicate that the Guayas River basin in the coastal area is classified as a region of high to very high aggres-
cision in the coastal region, while the high mountain region is classified as low or very low erosion.

Areas of high agricultural activity located in the coastal region have greater erosive potential of rain compared to the high mountain region. The spatial distribution of precipitation concentration increases from east to west, showing moderate to strong seasonality levels. The results using the aggressiveness and concentration of precipitation rates allowed to assess qualitatively the possible impact of rain on the ground and identify aggressiveness patterns with precipitation accumulation and concentration of precipitation associated with the length. This may be associated with seasonal moisture flows from the Equatorial Amazon to the Andes (Espinoza Villar et al., 2009). These results also indicate that the monthly rainfall concentration does not have predominant changes or trends between 1968 and 2014. However, it is recommended to analyze the daily rainfall concentration in equatorial regions, as large percentages of seasonal or annual rain can precipitate in a few days.
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